



# SURVEY FUTURES

SURVEY DATA COLLECTION  
METHODS COLLABORATION

## Complex Measurements in online self-completion surveys: evidence, practice and challenges

Matt Brown, **Lisa Calderwood**, Cristian Domarchi, Helena Koerber and Olga Maslovskaya

Survey Futures Conference | 18 June | City St George's, University of London



# Research Strand 5: Complex Measurements in Online Surveys

**Aim:** Understand current practice and improve quality of complex measures collected in online surveys.

## Key Areas

- Retrospective Life Events
- Cognitive Function Measures
- Consent to Data Linkage
- Occupation & Industry Coding

# Retrospective Life Events

## Key learnings

- Retrospective calendars can improve recall quality, as their visual structure helps respondents contextualise events and reduce recall errors and omissions
- Self-administered online retrospective calendars are feasible but require careful design, including clear instructions, examples, and interactive help features
- Pre-loading information from previous waves or earlier responses can improve recall accuracy and reduce respondent burden in longitudinal surveys
- Questionnaire-based recall is suitable for short timeframes; calendar approaches perform better for life histories
- Dependent interviewing techniques can reduce recall errors and spurious changes in longitudinal surveys

# Retrospective Life Events

## Areas for Future Research

- Further testing of self-administered retrospective calendars, particularly regarding usability, response burden, and data quality in online surveys
- Evaluation of device compatibility and interface design, especially for complex calendar instruments used on mobile devices
- Comparative research on different retrospective data collection methods, including calendars, conventional questionnaires, and event-triggered approaches
- Investigation of how instrument complexity and sensitive topics influence breakoffs and data quality in retrospective online surveys

# Evidence Review

## Report 4:

### Retrospective measurement of life events in online self- completion surveys: Evidence Review

Cristian Domarchi, University of Southampton

Olga Maslovskaya, University of Southampton

Lisa Calderwood, University College London

Matt Brown, University College London

April 2025



# Survey Practice Guide

## Survey Practice Guide 3: Retrospective measurement of life events in online self- completion surveys



Cristian Domarchi<sup>1</sup>, Olga Maslovskaya<sup>1</sup>, Lisa Calderwood<sup>2</sup>, Matt Brown<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Southampton, <sup>2</sup>University College London

October 2025

# Cognitive Function Measurement

## Key learnings

- Adapting tests originally designed for in-person administration can introduce mode effects.
- In mixed-mode surveys, cognitive tests should ideally be administered through self-completion even when interviews are conducted in person
- Calibration studies are recommended when transitioning from interviewer-administered to online cognitive assessments in longitudinal surveys
- Survey implementation should prioritise usability and accessibility, including clear instructions, practice tasks, intuitive interfaces, and support for respondents with lower digital literacy.
- Paradata and response behaviour may complement, but not replace, direct cognitive assessments.

# Cognitive Function Measurement

## Areas for future research

- Validation of online cognitive test batteries, particularly regarding reliability across devices, screen sizes, and internet conditions
- Mode effects in cognitive assessments conducted as part of mixed-mode surveys including interviewer-led modes, both in terms of measurement and post-survey adjustments
- Development and evaluation of novel measurement approaches, including gamified cognitive tests and smartphone-based assessments
- Research on the use of survey response behaviour and paradata as proxies for cognitive functioning, including the strength and robustness of these associations
- Investigation of device-related effects on cognitive performance, including differences between desktop, tablet, and smartphone participation

# Evidence Review

## Report 9: Cognitive function measurement in online self-completion surveys: Evidence review

Cristian Domarchi<sup>1</sup>, Olga Maslovskaya<sup>1</sup>,  
Lisa Calderwood<sup>2</sup>, and Matt Brown<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Southampton, <sup>2</sup>University College London

November 2025



# Survey Practice Guide

## Survey Practice Guide 6:

## Cognitive function measurement in online self- completion surveys

Cristian Domarchi<sup>1</sup>, Olga Maslovskaya<sup>1</sup>, Lisa Calderwood<sup>2</sup>, Matt Brown<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Southampton, <sup>2</sup> University College London

February 2026



# Consent to Data Linkage

## Key learnings

- Data linkage can improve data quality, reduce respondent burden, and expand research opportunities
- Securing consent remains a major challenge; non-consent can introduce bias and reduce the value of linked datasets
- Consent rates are consistently lower in web surveys than in interviewer-administered surveys
- Most UK online and mixed-mode surveys use similar consent approaches:
  - Clear, concise explanations of data linkage with supplementary information provided
  - Opt-in consent requested, typically towards the end of the questionnaire
  - Multiple linkage requests usually presented sequentially in a dedicated consent section
- There are some exceptions to this approach. Some surveys, particularly government and ONS surveys, do not require separate opt-in consent for data linkage



# Consent to Data Linkage

## Areas for future research

- There is limited robust evidence on how to improve consent rates in web surveys
  - Further research is needed on design features such as placement, wording, and framing of the consent question in self-completion surveys
  - Additionally, given the persistent challenges in obtaining consent in online surveys, more innovative approaches should be explored, including incentives, opt-out or embedded consent models, and targeted follow-up of initial non-consenters
- 
- **Evidence Review and Survey Practice Guide coming soon!**



**SURVEY  
FUTURES**  
SURVEY DATA COLLECTION  
METHODS COLLABORATION

**Thank you for your attention!**

